A Rare and Atypical Case Report of Combined Rupture of Patellar Tendon, Anterior Cruciate Ligament, Medial Collateral ligament, and Lateral Meniscus Managed Operatively
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Abstract

Introduction: Extensor mechanism disruption with other ligament injuries at knee is rare. Rupture of the patellar tendon (PT) usually occurs at the inferior pole of patella leading to proximal retraction of 3–5 cm due to contraction of quadriceps. The medial collateral ligament (MCL) is the most frequently damaged ligamentous stabilizer of the knee. MCL injuries do occur as isolated lesions or in combination with damage to other ligamentous structures (meniscus and/or cruciate ligaments). Non-operative treatment is reasonable for the second-degree and some third-degree tears, but when they occur in conjunction with meniscal tears, they are best treated by surgical repair. The incidence of meniscal tears with acute anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries ranges from 50% to 70%. The risk of lateral meniscus (LM) injury is high in ACL deficient knee as a result of abnormal loading and shear stress. However, simultaneous rupture of both the PT, ACL, MCL, and LM is a relatively rare injury. Our is a case of a 60-year-old male with a history of roadside accident presenting with simultaneous PT, ACL, MCL, and LM injury. We managed the patient with acute repairing of the PT with Ethibond no.5 sutures protected with stainless steel wire and the MCL repaired with Ethibond no.5 sutures. The ACL was not repaired as the limited literature has shown high incidence of arthrofibrosis in acute repair (Shelbourne et al.). Complex tear of anterior horn of LM was seen and was shaved off. We were able to achieve full extension at 3 months. In conclusion, we want to highlight the rarity of such injuries favoring the immediate repair of PT and delayed repair of ACL for achieving optimal results. The use of our treatment algorithm may facilitate clinical decision-making in an attempt to restore stability, preserve ROM, and maximize return to activity.
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extension was zero (Fig. 1). A palpable gap was observed at the distal pole of PT attachment near the tibial tuberosity suggestive of a PT avulsion. Patellar tap was positive suggestive of knee effusion. On further examination, the patient had a positive Lachman test suggestive of ACL tear. The valgus stress test was positive for MCL tear (Fig. 2). Bragard test was positive for LM tear. Plain radiographs were negative for fracture but revealed superior displacement of the patella.

**Investigations**

X-rays, ultrasound, and MRI were done. MRI showed avulsion of PT near the tibial tuberosity, complete tear of the ACL with buckling of PCL, medial collateral grade three tears, and LM tear (Fig. 3 and 4).

**Treatment**

We planned the patient for acute repair of the PT and MCL and delayed repair of ACL. Our patient was operated under epidural anesthesia. Examination under anesthesia revealed laxity on valgus stress again highlighting the MCL injury. The intraoperative fluoroscopic image showed high riding patella suggestive of PT rupture (Fig. 5). At first, we approached the PT through an anterior longitudinal midline incision (Fig. 6). After debridement of the tendinous tissue at the level of the tear, the PT was repaired. The two loops of the stitch were passed through two bony tunnels made vertically in the patella and sutured to each other at the proximal pole of the patella. Intraoperatively, the PT was repaired with Ethibond No. 5 sutures passed through the avulsed proximal pole. A trough was created at the tibial tuberosity and a transverse tunnel made. The ends of the suture were passed through the tunnel and tied back at the proximal pole. The repair was protected with a stainless steel wire passed through the upper pole of patella and tibial tuberosity tightened together (Fig. 7). The MCL was also repaired with Ethibond No.5 sutures checking for laxity with valgus stress test (Fig. 8). LM complex tear was shaved off. No demonstrable laxity was present.

**Outcome and follow-up**

Postoperatively, the knee was placed in a hinged knee brace. Full weight-bearing was allowed immediately with the brace locked in full extension (Fig. 9). Knee range of motion was kept up to 45°. After 4 weeks, full extension was achieved (Fig. 10) and flexion was gradually progressed to 60° and then up to 110° at 12 weeks. At the latest follow-up at 3 months, the patient has full extension and up to 110 degrees of flexion (Fig. 11), and his ACL reconstruction was planned.

**Discussion**
Multiligamentous injuries of the knee are rare with the most common reported pattern of combined injury of ACL and MCL. Combined rupture of the PT, MCL, and ACL is reported very sparingly with Levakos et al. reporting 6 cases with 2 cases of rupture of medial meniscus (MM), LM, MCL, ACL, and PT [7]. Costa-Paz et al. reported 3 cases of simultaneous rupture of ACL and PT [8]. Rae et al., Chow et al., and Futch et al. have reported individual case reports making a total of 15 cases reported so far [9, 10, 11]. Most of these injuries occurred as a result of indulgence in sports with only 3 cases occurring as a result of accidental injury [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. In our study, the mode of injury was accidental suggesting a direct impact injury. Most of the patients in literature were in the age group of 20–30 years and mostly were male. Our patient was 60 years old. Only one patient who sustained an accidental injury as a result of fall from bike was 50 years old [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The rarity of combined ACL and PT injuries frequently lead to a delay in diagnosis or misdiagnosis. A PT injury can rapidly be diagnosed by clinical examination, but the presence of tense effusion makes diagnosis of other ligamentous injuries a challenge. The role of MRI for diagnosis of such rare injuries is also controversial. Of the 15 cases reported, MRI was done in 7 cases and not in 8 with MRI reserved for cases in which diagnosis was uncertain or the ones with atypical features. The harm of not performing an MRI lead to frequently missed ACL injury with no clear advantage. We performed MRI for describing the nature of all injuries [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The optimal treatment protocol has also met with controversy concerning the limited number of cases reported. There is a general consensus on immediate repair of ruptured PT to restore knee extension with the delay in repair increasing the probability of poorer results. Simultaneous repair of the ACL was done in 5 out of 15 cases with 10 cases having no repair [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. We preferred not to repair the ACL immediately. Simultaneous repair causes problems with rehabilitation increasing the risk of rupture of PT and increasing incidence of arthrofibrosis. Futch et al. reported immediate repair of the ACL leading to the complication of arthrofibrosis which necessitated arthroscopic lysis of adhesions and repeated closed manipulation. ACL reconstruction can be done at a later stage protecting the PT at the given time [11]. Merritt and Wahl presented a study on the treatment of 138 dislocated and multiligament injured knees [12]. Surgery of these knees is scheduled 2–3 weeks after the initial injury, and decision to surgically treat the MCL is made if during surgery an opening 8–10 mm is found in the medial compartment with valgus stress in 30° of flexion. We followed the same protocol and repaired the MCL as there was >10 mm of laxity.

Conclusion

- Combined rupture of ACL, PT, MCL, and LM
- Acute repair of MCL and PT
- MRI for diagnosis
- Repair of PT protected with SS wire
- Delayed repair of ACL.
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